UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,434	09/01/2006	Toshifumi Inno	0649-1356PUS1	1720
	7590 12/08/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		ZIMMERMAN, JOSHUA D		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2854	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/08/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/591,434	INNO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOSHUA D. ZIMMERMAN	2854
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reption. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH y statute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed or     Za)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	This action is non-final. Allowance except for formal matter	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 7,8 and 10-16 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 7,8 and 10-16 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to by to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance correction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for the priority document of	uments have been received. uments have been received in Apple priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(48) Paper No(s)/	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/591,434 Page 2

Art Unit: 2854

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 7, 8, 10, 11, and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu et al. in view of Shibuya et al. (US 2003/0077541) and Okazaki et al. (US 2004/0247011).

Regarding claim 7, Shimizu et al. teach "a platemaking method of a lithographic printing plate (title), comprising developing an exposed lithographic printing plate precursor with a developer (column 13, lines 62-66), wherein the exposed lithographic printing plate precursor is obtained by an image recording method comprising imagewise exposing a lithographic printing plate precursor with an imaging time per pixel of 1 millisecond or less (column 14, lines 53-57) using a laser light with an emission wavelength of from 250 nm to 420 nm (column 14, line 11), wherein the lithographic printing plate precursor comprises a support and an image recording layer, in which the image recording layer contains (A) a polymerization initiator and (B) a polymeric compound (column 5, lines 45-62) and is photosensitive in a wavelength of from 250 nm to 420 nm (column 5, lines 32-37);

wherein the developer is a non-alkaline developer having a pH value of 10 or less (column 13, lines 52-66. Examiner notes that when water is used, this limitation is

Art Unit: 2854

met)."

Furthermore, Shimizu et al. teach modulation of the solid-state semiconductor laser (column 4, lines 20-24). Shimizu et al fail to teach that the emission wavelength is "selected from 405 nm or 375 nm."

Shibuya et al. teach a photosensitive composition which is an improvement over the prior art composition used by Shimizu et al. which results in a printing plate which has excellent workability, profitability and storage stability and that is highly sensitive to inexpensive short wavelength semiconductor lasers having wavelengths between 350 and 450nm (paragraphs 9, 11, 12 and 205). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use the photosensitive composition of Shibuya et al. in the modified method of Shimizu et al. in order to achieve a printing plate which is sensitive to inexpensive short wavelength semiconductor lasers and which has excellent workability, profitability and storage stability.

Regarding claim 8, Shimizu et al. further teach "wherein the support has an anodized film with sealed micropores on the surface (column 7, lines 50-53; paragraph bridging columns 7 and 8)."

Regarding claim 10, Shimizu et al. further teach "wherein the image recording layer further contains (C) a binder polymer (column 5, lines 53-62)."

Regarding claim 11, Shimizu et al. further teach "wherein the binder polymer (C) does not have an acid group (paragraph bridging columns 6 and 7)."

Regarding claims 13-16, Shimizu et al. further teach that the development can be carried out with water or fountain solution (column 13, lines 37-40 and 62-67). The examiner takes Official Notice that it was known, at the time of the invention, to include in fountain solutions additives to improve the fountain solution, including: "organic solvents" (such as isopropyl alcohol), various surfactants (such as nonionic and ionic) to increase the wettability of the fountain solution, and "water-soluble polymeric compounds" such as gum arabic to act as de-sensitizers.

Page 4

Furthermore, Applicants admit that "there is no particular limitation" on the developer to be used (paragraph bridging pages 9 and 10 of Applicants' specification).

Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to include any of the components claimed in claims 13-16 in the water or fountain solution (that is, the developer) of Shimizu et al. in order to improve the fountain solution. It is further deemed that any limitations on the developer solution would not define over any prior art of record, as Applicants have admitted that the developer is not crucial to their invention.

3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu et al. and Shibuya et al. (US 2003/0077541), as applied to claim 7 above, further in view of Okazaki et al. (US 2004/0247011).

Regarding claim 12, Shimizu et al. and Okazaki et al. teach all that is claimed, as applied to claim 7 above, except "wherein the exposure is carried out using an optical

system comprising: a DMD or GLV modulation element; and a semiconductor laser with a wavelength of 405 nm or 375 nm."

Okazaki et al. disclose an exposure system for semiconductor lasers emitting at 405 nm (paragraph 89) used to expose printing plates (paragraph 111) which uses DMD or GLV modulation devices (paragraph 50). The system of Okazaki et al. is produced at low cost and is of a simple construction. Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use the exposure system of Okazaki et al. in the method of Shimizu et al. in order to simply expose the printing plate and with low cost.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 7, 8 and 10-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2854

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSHUA D. ZIMMERMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-2749. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 8:30A - 6:00P, Alternate Fridays 8:30A-5:00P.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/591,434 Page 7

Art Unit: 2854

If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joshua D Zimmerman Examiner Art Unit 2854

/jdz/

/Leslie J. Evanisko/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2854